arXiv:1609.03989v2 [math.AP] 19 Sep 2016

THE BREZIS-NIRENBERG PROBLEM FOR THE CURL-CURL OPERATOR

JAROSŁAW MEDERSKI

Abstract. We look for solutions $E : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times (\nabla \times E) + \lambda E = |E|^{p-2}E & \text{in } \Omega \\ \nu \times E = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

on a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, where $\nabla\times$ denotes the curl operator in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The equation describes the propagation of the time-harmonic electric field $\Re\{E(x)e^{i\omega t}\}$ in a nonlinear isotropic material Ω with $\lambda = -\mu\varepsilon\omega^2 \leq 0$, where μ and ε stand for the permeability and the linear part of the permittivity of the material. The nonlinear term $|E|^{p-2}E$ with $p > 2$ is responsible for the nonlinear polarisation of Ω and the boundary conditions are those for Ω surrounded by a perfect conductor. The problem has a variational structure and we deal with the critical values p, for instance, in convex domains Ω or in domains with $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary $p = 6 = 2^*$ is the Sobolev critical exponent and we get the quintic nonlinearity in the equation. We show that there exist a cylindrically symmetric ground state solution and at least finite number of cylindrically symmetric bound states depending on $\lambda \leq 0$. We develop a new critical point theory which allows to solve the problem, and which enables us to treat more general anisotropic media as well as other variational problems.

MSC 2010: Primary: 35Q60; Secondary: 35J20, 58E05, 35B33, 78A25

Key words: time-harmonic Maxwell equations, perfect conductor, ground state, variational methods, strongly indefinite functional, Nehari-Pankov manifold, Brezis-Nirenberg problem, critical exponent.

1. Introduction

The following equation

$$\nabla \times \left(\mu^{-1}\nabla \times \mathcal{E}\right) + \varepsilon\partial_t^2\mathcal{E} = -\partial_t^2\mathcal{P}_{NL}.$$

describes the propagation of the electric field $\mathcal{E}$ in a nonlinear bounded medium Ω with the permeability μ, the linear part of the permittivity ε and the nonlinear polarisation $\mathcal{P}_{NL}$; see

Date: September 20, 2016.

Saleh and Teich [30]. In the time-harmonic case the fields $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{NL}$ are of the form $\mathcal{E}(x,t) = E(x)e^{i\omega t}$, $\mathcal{P}_{NL}(x,t) = P_{NL}(x)e^{i\omega t}$, which leads to the time-harmonic Maxwell equation

$$\nabla \times \left(\mu^{-1}\nabla \times E\right) - \omega^2 \varepsilon E = \omega^2 P_{NL}. \tag{1.1}$$

Since P_{NL} depends on E, and assuming $\varepsilon, \mu > 0$ to be constant, we finally obtain an equation of the form

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times E) + \lambda E = f(x,E) \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\lambda = -\mu\omega^2\varepsilon \leq 0$ and $f(x,E) = \mu\omega^2 P_{NL}$. In particular, we concentrate on the following problem

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times E) + \lambda E = |E|^{p-2}E \qquad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1.3}$$

with $p > 2$ and together with the boundary condition

$$\nu \times E = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega \tag{1.4}$$

where $\nu : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the exterior normal. This boundary condition holds when Ω is surrounded by a perfect conductor; see for instance [6, 18, 26] and references therein.

The linear time-harmonic Maxwell equations, i.e. when $P_{NL} = 0$, have been extensively studied, e.g. [10, 18, 21, 25, 26, 28, 42], however the nonlinear case is still investigated only tangentially in the mathematical literature. Firstly, we would like to mention that if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$ then cylindrically symmetric transverse electric and transverse magnetic solutions have been considered in a series of papers by Stuart and Zhou [33–39] for asymptotically linear P_{NL} and by McLeod, Stuart and Troy [22] for a cubic nonlinear polarization. The search for these solutions reduces to a one-dimensional variational problem or an ODE, which simplifies the problem considerably. The ODE methods, however, seem to be difficult to apply to our problem (1.3)-(1.4), since we easily show that nontrivial radial solutions do not exist. Indeed, if $E \in L^{p-1}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is a distributional solution of (1.3) such that $E(x) = M^T E(Mx)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $M \in \mathcal{O}(3)$, then $\nabla \times E = 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ similarly as in [5][Theorem 1], hence $\lambda = 0$ and $E = 0$.

Recall that in [6], Bartsch and the author have dealt with general subcritical nonlinearities of the form $f(x,E) = \partial_E F(x,E)$ on a convex domain Ω or on a simply-connected domain with the connected $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary, having $F(x,E) = \frac{1}{p}|E|^p$ with $2 < p < 6 = 2^*$ as a model in mind. The first goal of this paper is to find weak solutions to (1.3) for the critically growing nonlinearity with $p = 6$ on such media Ω, which have not been considered in the mathematical literature so far. In the physical context, the critical nonlinearity represents the focusing quintic effect of the material and the nonlinear effect usually takes the form $f(x,E) = \chi^{(5)}|E|^4E - \chi^{(3)}|E|^2E$, where $\chi^{(3)}$, $\chi^{(5)}$ are corresponding susceptibility parameters. In this work we are able to deal with the case, where $\chi^{(5)} > 0$ and $\chi^{(3)} = 0$. Moreover we work on general Lipschitz domains

with the following nonlinearity

$$F(x,E)=\frac{1}{p}|E|^p,\quad p=\frac{6}{3-2s}, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$X_N(\Omega):=\left\{E\in H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega):\mathrm{div}\,(E)\in L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)\right\}$$

embeds continuously into $H^s(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $s\in[1/2,1]$ and $H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ is the natural space for $\nabla\times(\nabla\times\cdot)$ operator with (1.4); see [1] and Section 4 for details. Here p is such that $H^s(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ embeds continuously into $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ but not necessarily compactly. For instance, for convex Ω or for domains with $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary one has $s=1$ and $p=6$. Therefore equation (1.3) is a three-dimensional variant of the well-known Brezis-Nirenberg problem [12]

$$-\Delta u+\lambda u=|u|^{2^*-2}u\quad\text{ for } u\in H^1_0(\Omega), \tag{1.6}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N\geq 3$ and $2^*=\frac{2N}{N-2}$ is the critical Sobolev constant.

On a suitable subspace $X\subset H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ defined in Section 2, weak solutions of (1.3) correspond to critical points of the associated energy functional

$$J_\lambda(E)=\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega|\nabla\times E|^2\,dx+\frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega|E|^2\,dx-\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega|E|^p\,dx, \tag{1.7}$$

however we encounter several difficulties in order treat J_λ by the available variational methods. Namely, similarly as in the subcitical case [6,7], J_λ is unbounded from above and from below, even on subspaces of finite codimension and its critical points have infinite Morse index. Therefore the problem has the strongly indefinite nature. This is due to the fact that $\nabla\times(\nabla\times\cdot)$ has the infinite dimensional kernel, since $\nabla\times(\nabla\varphi)=0$ for $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Although for $\lambda\leq 0$, J_λ has a linking geometry in the spirit of Benci and Rabinowitz [9, 29] we cannot apply these results to get a Palais-Smale sequence, since J' is not (sequentially) weak-to-weak* continuous, i.e. the weak convergence $E_n\rightharpoonup E$ in X does not imply that $J'_\lambda(E_n)\rightharpoonup J'_\lambda(E)$ in X^*; see also [24] for the recent linking results and the references therein, where this regularity is required. Moreover, even if we find somehow a bounded Palais-Smale sequence $E_n\rightharpoonup E$ we do not know whether E is a critical point of J_λ. This is caused, again, by the lack of weak-to-weak* continuity of J'_λ.

In order to find a Palais-Smale sequence we minimize J_λ on a natural constraint $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$, called the Nehari-Pankov manifold, contained the usual Nehari manifold $\{E\neq 0|\ J'_\lambda(E)(E)=0\}$ inspired by works [27,40]. As in [6] we show that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is homeomorphic with the unit sphere in a subspace of X_N consisting of divergence-free vector fields. This allows to find a minimizing sequence on the sphere, which is of $\mathcal{C}^1$ class, and hence on the Nehari-Pankov manifold using the homeomorphism. However in [6] for $p<6$ and in [7] for more general materials and $p<\frac{6}{3-2s}$ we have been in a position to find a limit point of the sequence being a nontrivial critical point, since X_N is compactly embedded into $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$. The methods of [6,7] are no longer applicable in the critical case (1.5).

Inspired by Brezis and Nirenberg [12], it would seem that a natural approach to solve (1.3) is to find a Palais-Smale sequence below the energy level of a certain least energy solution $E : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ of the following limiting problem

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times E) = |E|^{p-2}E \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.8}$$

and show that the Palais-Smale condition holds in this case. Recall that the corresponding comparison of minimization problems concerning (1.6) in [12] is strongly based on the shape of all solutions of the limiting problem $-\Delta u = |u|^{2^*-2}u$ with $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, called the Aubin-Talenti instantons [2, 41], which are radial and given by the explicit formula. Moreover the lowest dimensional case $N = 3$ for (1.6) is the most challenging one to get the comparison of the minimization problems and to get the compactness of Palais-Smale sequences. Hence, in order to try to adopt this approach to our problem one should have the very precise information about the shape of solutions of (1.8). However, in view of [23][Corollary 2.5] one sees that (1.8) admits no classical solutions for $p \neq 6$ and $p \geq 2$. Moreover, similarly as in [5][Theorem 1] we show that for any $p \geq 2$ there are no radial weak solutions, so that the usual ODE methods are not applicable to find solutions to (1.8) and their shapes. Note that a semilinear equation involving $\nabla \times (\nabla \times \cdot)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has been also considered in [3, 8, 15] with different nonlinearities of subcritical growth for large fields and in a different physical context, as well as in [5, 20] with a subcritical nonlinearity and the similar physical motivation. Taking into account the methods of these works, instead of radial symmetry one could try to find cylindrically symmetric solutions to (1.8) of the form

$$E(x) = \frac{u(r, x_3)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \tag{1.9}$$

for some $u : (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. By the direct computations we observe that a field E of the form (1.9) solves (1.8) if and only if $\phi(x) = u(r, x_3)$ solves

$$-\Delta\phi + \frac{\phi}{r^2} = |\phi|^{p-2}\phi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{1.10}$$

Surprisingly, the last equation with $p = 6$ has been also obtained by Esteban and Lions in [19][Theorem 3.9] as the limiting problem for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation of critical growth with an external magnetic field. To the best of our knowledge, no precise information about the shape of solutions of (1.10) with $p = 6$ has been provided, which would allow to adopt the methods of [12].

Since the problem of the existence and information about the shape of solution to (1.8) is still open, we introduce a different approach than in [12], or in the related works; see for instance [4, 13, 16, 17, 31] and references therein. Namely, we analyse the monotonicity of

ground state levels

$$c_\lambda := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda} J_\lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0],$$

and, roughly speaking, we show that if c_λ is strictly increasing in some open interval $I \subset (-\infty, 0]$, then we find a Palais-Smale sequence contained in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ at level c_λ with a nontrivial weak limit point for all $\lambda \in I$. In the critical case, however, we do not know whether the limit point is a critical point due to the lack of the weak-to-weak* continuity of J'_λ, even on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. In order to find critical points of J_λ and solve (1.3), we restrict our approach to the subspace X^{cyl} of cylindrically symmetric vector fields $E \in X^{cyl} \subset \mathcal{V}$ of the form

$$E(x) = u(r, x_3) \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega \text{ and } r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \tag{1.11}$$

for some $u : (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, where Ω is assumed to be invariant under the orthogonal group action $G = \mathcal{O}(2) \times 1 \subset \mathcal{O}(3)$. Since J'_λ is weak-to-weak* continuous in $\mathcal{V}$, then the analysis of the monotonicity of symmetric ground state levels

$$c_\lambda^{cyl} := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}} J_\lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0],$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ is the Nehari-Pankov manifold for $J_\lambda|_{X^{cyl}}$, shows that c_λ^{cyl} is attained in some open intervals $I \subset (-\infty, 0]$. In order to find such intervals we compare c_λ^{cyl} with c_0^{cyl}, the new limiting problem (1.3) at level $\lambda = 0$ still on the same bounded domain. Moreover, if $-\lambda$ is in a right neighbourhood of an eigenvalue of $\nabla \times (\nabla \times (\cdot))$ in X^{cyl}, then the number of solutions is bounded from below by the multiplicity of the eigenvalue. The precise statement of our results concerning (1.3) is presented in the next Section 2.

The remaining part of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 3 we build an abstract critical point theory which enables us to analyse the monotonicity of ground state levels of general strongly indefinite functionals on the Nehari-Pankov manifold, and which provides the information about the existence and the multiplicity of critical points. Section 4 is devoted to proof of the results from Section 2. Our critical point theory can be applied to other variational problems and in the last Section 5 we study the time-harmonic Maxwell equation in more general anisotropic media.

2. Statement of results

Throughout the paper we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Recall that the proper space for the curl-curl eigenvalue problem is

$$H(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) := \{E \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) : \nabla \times E \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)\},$$

which is a Hilbert space when provided with the graph norm

$$\|E\|_{H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)} := \left(|E|_2^2 + |\nabla \times E|_2^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Here and in the sequel $|\cdot|_q$ denotes the L^q-norm for $q \geq 1$, $q = \infty$. The curl of E, $\nabla \times E$, has to be understood in the distributional sense. The closure of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ in $H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ is denoted by $H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$.

We also need the subspace

$$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ v \in H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) : \int_\Omega \langle v, \varphi \rangle \, dx = 0 \text{ for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \text{ with } \nabla \times \varphi = 0 \right\}.$$

and observe that

$$\mathcal{V} \subset \left\{ E \in H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) : \mathrm{div}\,(E) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \right\} =: X_N(\Omega).$$

In view of [1, 14], we know that $X_N(\Omega)$ embeds continuously into $H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $s \in [1/2, 1]$, but if, in addition Ω is convex or has $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$-boundary, then $X_N(\Omega)$ embeds into $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus we work with the following general assumption.

(V) $\mathcal{V}$ is continuously embedded into $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and compactly into $L^{p-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $2 < p \leq 6$.

Below we show that the embedding $\mathcal{V} \subset L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is not compact for $p = 2^* = 6$.

Remark 2.1. *Suppose that Ω is star-shaped, i.e. there is $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $x_0 + t(x - x_0) \in \Omega$ for any $t \in [0,1]$ and $x \in \Omega$. Let $E \in H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ and take $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $E = \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n$ in $H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ and $x \in \Omega$ we set*

$$\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-1/2} \varphi_n((x - x_0)/\varepsilon) & \text{if } (x - x_0)/\varepsilon \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } (x - x_0)/\varepsilon \notin \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Since Ω is star-shaped, we easily check that $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}) \subset \Omega_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ and $\varphi_{n,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x_0 + \varepsilon x : x \in \Omega\}$. Observe that for $n, m \geq 1$ one has

$$\|\varphi_{n,\varepsilon} - \varphi_{m,\varepsilon}\|^2 \;=\; |\nabla \times (\varphi_n - \varphi_m)|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\varphi_n - \varphi_m|_2^2.$$

Hence $(\varphi_{n,\varepsilon})$ is a Cauchy sequence and we find the limit

$$E_\varepsilon = \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{n,\varepsilon} \text{ in } H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega). \tag{2.1}$$

Observe that if $E \in \mathcal{V}$, *then for any* $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ *such that* $\nabla \times \varphi = 0$ *one has*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \langle E_\varepsilon, \varphi\rangle \, dx &\leq |E_\varepsilon - \varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|_2 |\varphi|_2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \langle \varepsilon^{-1/2}\varphi_n((x-x_0)/\varepsilon), \varphi(x)\rangle \, dx \\ &\leq |E_\varepsilon - \varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|_2 |\varphi|_2 + \varepsilon^{3-1/2} \int_\Omega \langle \varphi_n(y), \varphi(x_0+\varepsilon y)\rangle \, dy \\ &\to \varepsilon^{5/2} \int_\Omega \langle E(y), \varphi(x_0+\varepsilon y)\rangle \, dy = 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$, *since* $\nabla \times \varphi(x_0 + \varepsilon(\cdot)) = 0$. *Thus* $E_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}$. *Now let* $E \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$, $\mathcal{V}$ *embeds continuously in* $L^6(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ *and* $\varepsilon \to 0$. *Then for any* $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ *we show that*

$$\int_\Omega \langle \nabla \times E_\varepsilon, \varphi\rangle \, dx \to 0,$$

hence $E_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$ *in* $\mathcal{V}$. *On the other hand*

$$|E_\varepsilon|_6^6 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-3} |\varphi_n((x-x_0)/\varepsilon)|^6 \, dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} |\varphi_n|_6^6 = |E|_6^6,$$

and the embedding $\mathcal{V} \subset L^6(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ *cannot be compact.*

In order to state our results we introduce the space

$$W^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) := \{E \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) : \nabla \times E \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)\} \subset H(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$$

which is a Banach space if provided with the norm

$$\|E\|_{W^p(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)} := \left(|E|_p^2 + |\nabla \times E|_2^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

We shall look for solutions of (1.3) in the closure

$$X := W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) \subset H_0(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$$

of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ in $W^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$. Observe that $\mathcal{V}$ is a closed linear subspace of $W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$ as a consequence of (V). Moreover, since for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ the linear map

$$E \mapsto \int_\Omega \langle E, \nabla \times \varphi\rangle dx$$

is continuous on $W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) \subset H(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$, the space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W} &:= \left\{ w \in W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) : \int_\Omega \langle w, \nabla \times \varphi\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \right\} \\ &= \{w \in W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) : \nabla \times w = 0\}\end{aligned}$$

is a closed complement of $\mathcal{V}$ in $W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$, hence there is a Helmholtz type decomposition

$$X = W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}.$$

We will show that the spectrum of the curl-curl operator in $H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ consists of the eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 0$ with infinite multiplicity and of a sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \le \lambda_k \to \infty$$

with the corresponding finite multiplicities $m(\lambda_k) \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\lambda \le 0$ we find two closed and orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{V}^+$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ such that the quadratic form $Q : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$Q(v) = \int_\Omega |\nabla \times v|^2 + \lambda |v|^2 \, dx \tag{2.2}$$

is positive on $\mathcal{V}^+$ and semi-negative on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, where $\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{V}} < +\infty$. Now we can define the so-called *Nehari-Pankov manifold*

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \{E \in X \setminus (\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{W}) : \ J'_\lambda(E)|_{\mathbb{R}E \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{W}} = 0\}, \tag{2.3}$$

being a closed subset of the usual Nehari manifold

$$\{E \in X \setminus \{0\} : \ J'_\lambda(E)(E) = 0\}.$$

It is not clear whether $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, however we show that it is homeomorphic with the unit sphere in $\mathcal{V}^+$, hence $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is an infinite dimensional topological manifold of infinite codimesion. For any $\lambda \le 0$ we set

$$c_\lambda = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda} J_\lambda.$$

Firstly we present a partial result which does not solve (1.3), but we show the existence of a minimizing sequence for some $\lambda \le 0$ with a nontrivial weak limit point.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (V) be satisfied and $-\lambda_n < \lambda \le -\lambda_{n-1}$ for some $n \ge 1$. Then $c_\lambda > 0$ and the following statements hold.*
a) If $\lambda < -\lambda_n + S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}$, then $c_\lambda < c_0$ and there is a Palais-Smale sequence $(E_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ such that $J_\lambda(E_n) \to c_\lambda > 0$ and $E_n \rightharpoonup E_0 \neq 0$ in $W^p_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$, where $\mu(\Omega)$ is the Lebesgue measure of Ω and

$$S = \inf_{|v|_p = 1,\ v \in \mathcal{V}} \int_\Omega |\nabla \times v|^2 \, dx.$$

b) The function $(-\lambda_n, -\lambda_{n-1}] \ni \lambda \mapsto c_\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ is non-decreasing, continuous and $c_\lambda \to 0$ as $\lambda \to -\lambda_n^-$. If $c_{\mu_1} = c_{\mu_2}$ for some $-\lambda_n < \mu_1 < \mu_2 \le -\lambda_{n-1}$, then c_λ is not attained for $\lambda \in (\mu_1, \mu_2]$.

Due to the lack of weak-to-weak* continuity of J'_λ, we do not know if E_0 in Theorem 2.2 a) is a critical point and it is still an open question whether c_λ is attained for some $\lambda \le 0$.

In order to solve (1.3) we assume that Ω is $G = \mathcal{O}(2) \times 1$-invariant. Then we may define a subspace $X^{cyl} \subset \mathcal{V}$ of fields of the form (1.3), such that, by the Palais principle of symmetric

criticality, critical points of $J_\lambda|_{X^{cyl}}$ are critical points of the free functional J_λ, hence weak solutions to (1.3). Similarly as above, there is a sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1^{cyl} \leq \lambda_2^{cyl} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k^{cyl} \to \infty$$

of the $\nabla \times (\nabla \times (\cdot))$ in X^{cyl} with the corresponding finite multiplicities $m(\lambda_k^{cyl}) \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, the set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k^{cyl} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in $\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and again, for $\lambda \leq 0$ we find two closed and orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ of X^{cyl} such that the quadratic form Q is positive on $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ and semi-negative on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$. Then the *symmetric Nehari-Pankov manifold* is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl} := \{E \in X^{cyl} \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl} : \ J'_\lambda(E)|_{\mathbb{R}E \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}} = 0\}, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$c_\lambda^{cyl} := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}} J_\lambda.$$

Critical points of J_λ in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ that attain c_λ^{cyl} will be called *symmetric ground states*.

Our first existence result reads as follows.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (V) be satisfied, ans suppose that Ω is G-invariant and $-\lambda_n^{cyl} < \lambda \leq -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then $c_\lambda^{cyl} > 0$ and there is*

$$\varepsilon_n \in [S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}, \lambda_n^{cyl}]$$

such that the following statements hold.
a) If $\lambda \in (-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n)$, then there is a symmetric ground state solution to (1.3), i.e. c_λ^{cyl} is attained by a critical point of J_λ. Moreover $c_\lambda^{cyl} < c_0^{cyl}$.
b) If $\varepsilon_n < \lambda_n^{cyl} - \lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}$, then c_λ^{cyl} is not attained for $\lambda \in (-\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n, -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}]$, and $c_\lambda^{cyl} = c_0^{cyl}$ for $\lambda \in [-\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n, -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}]$.
c) $c_\lambda^{cyl} \to 0$ as $\lambda \to -\lambda_n^{cyl-}$, and the function

$$(-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n] \cap (-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}] \ni \lambda \mapsto c_\lambda^{cyl} \in (0, +\infty)$$

is continuous and strictly increasing.
d) If $\lambda \in I := (-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_n^{cyl} + S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}})$, then there are at least $m(\lambda_n^{cyl})$ pairs of solutions E and $-E$ to (1.3) of the form (1.11). Moreover, if $\mu_n \to \mu_0$ in I as $n \to \infty$, and E_n is a symmetric ground state of J_{μ_n} for $n \geq 1$, then passing to a subsequence, (E_n) tends to a symmetric ground state E_0 of J_{μ_0} in the strong topology of $W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$. In particular, the set of symmetric ground states of J_λ is compact for $\lambda \in I$.

In order to deal with problem (1.3) and prove Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 we have to develop a new critical point theory in Section 3. In the subcritical case, i.e. when $\mathcal{V}$ is compactly embedded into $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, we know that J'_λ is weak-to-weak* continuous on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ (see Lemma 4.4 below), so that Theorem (1.3) a) provides existence results for some $\lambda \leq 0$ and

which have been obtained for all $\lambda \leq 0$ in [6, 7]. However, an additional information in the subcitical case in comparison to [6, 7], is that the map $(-\lambda_n, -\lambda_{n-1}] \ni \lambda \mapsto c_\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ is strictly increasing and continuous and $c_\lambda \to 0$ as $\lambda \to -\lambda_n^-$, which follows from Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 4.7 below.

If $\lambda = 0$, we do not know whether we get a nonexistence result for (1.3) on star-shaped domains by means of a Pohozaev-type argument. In case of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$, a variant of the Pohozaev identity has been obtained in [23][Theorem 2.4]. We remark only that in our situation, the Palais-Smale condition cannot be satisfied at a positive level on star-shaped domains Ω when $\lambda = 0$ and $p = 6$. Indeed, if $E \in \mathcal{N}_0$, then taking E_ε given by the construction (2.1) in space $W_0^6(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$, we easily check that $J_0'(E_\varepsilon) \to 0$ and $J_0(E_\varepsilon) = J_0(E) > 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. On the other hand, $E_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$ in $W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$, so that we cannot find a convergent subsequence.

3. Critical point theory of ground states

Firstly we recall a general setting from [6, 7] which allows to work with the Nehari-Pankov manifold. Let X be a reflexive Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and with a topological direct sum decomposition $X = X^+ \oplus \widetilde{X}$, where X^+ is a Hilbert space with a scalar product. For $u \in X$ we denote by $u^+ \in X^+$ and $\widetilde{u} \in \widetilde{X}$ the corresponding summands so that $u = u^+ + \widetilde{u}$. We may assume that $\langle u, u\rangle = \|u\|^2$ for any $u \in X^+$ and that $\|u\|^2 = \|u^+\|^2 + \|\widetilde{u}\|^2$. The topology $\mathcal{T}$ on X is defined as the product of the norm topology in X^+ and the weak topology in $\widetilde{X}$. Thus $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} u$ is equivalent to $u_n^+ \to u^+$ and $\widetilde{u}_n \rightharpoonup \widetilde{u}$.

Let $J \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a functional on X of the form

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u^+\|^2 - I(u) \quad \text{for } u = u^+ + \widetilde{u} \in X^+ \oplus \widetilde{X}. \tag{3.1}$$

We define the set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{u \in X \setminus \widetilde{X} : J'(u)|_{\mathbb{R}u \oplus \widetilde{X}} = 0\} \tag{3.2}$$

and suppose the following assumptions hold:

(A1) $I \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $I(u) \geq I(0) = 0$ for any $u \in X$.
(A2) I is $\mathcal{T}$-sequentially lower semicontinuous: $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} u \implies \liminf I(u_n) \geq I(u)$
(A3) If $u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} u$ and $I(u_n) \to I(u)$ then $u_n \to u$.
(A4) There exists $r > 0$ such that $a := \inf_{u \in X^+ : \|u\| = r} J(u) > 0$.
(B1) $\|u^+\| + I(u) \to \infty$ as $\|u\| \to \infty$.
(B2) $I(t_n u_n)/t_n^2 \to \infty$ if $t_n \to \infty$ and $u_n^+ \to u^+$ for some $u^+ \neq 0$ as $n \to \infty$.
(B3) $\frac{t^2-1}{2} I'(u)(u) + tI'(u)(v) + I(u) - I(tu+v) < 0$ for every $u \in \mathcal{N}$, $t \geq 0$, $v \in \widetilde{X}$ such that $u \neq tu + v$.

Proposition 3.1 (see [6, 7]). *For every*

$$u \in S(X^+) := \{u \in X^+ : \|u\| = 1\}$$

the functional J constrained to $\mathbb{R}u \oplus \widetilde{X} = \{tu + v : t \geq 0,\ v \in \widetilde{X}\}$ has precisely two critical points u_1, u_2 with positive energy. These are of the form $u_1 = t_1 u + v_1$, $u_2 = t_2 u + v_2$ with $t_1 > 0 > t_2$, $v_1, v_2 \in \widetilde{X}$. Moreover, u_1 is the unique global maximum of $J|_{\mathbb{R}^+ u \oplus \widetilde{X}}$, and u_2 is the unique global maximum of $J|_{\mathbb{R}^- u \oplus \widetilde{X}}$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^- = (-\infty, 0]$. Moreover, u_1 and u_2 depend continuously on $u \in S(X^+)$.

For $u \in S(X^+)$ we set $n(u) := u_1$ with u_1 from Proposition 3.1. Observe that $n(-u) = u_2$ and

$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in X \setminus \widetilde{X} : J'(u)|_{\mathbb{R}u \oplus \widetilde{X}} = 0\} = \{n(u) : u \in S(X^+)\}, \tag{3.3}$$

in particular, $\mathcal{N}$ is a topological manifold, the so-called *Nehari-Pankov manifold*. Note that all critical points of J from $X \setminus \widetilde{X}$ lie in $\mathcal{N}$. One easily checks that if $I'(u)(u) > 2I(u)$ for $u \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{N}$ contains all nontrivial critical points.

We say that the functional J satisfies the $(PS)^{\mathcal{T}}_{\beta}$*-condition in* $\mathcal{N}$ if every $(PS)_\beta$-sequence $(u_n)_n$ for the unconstrained functional and such that $u_n \in \mathcal{N}$ has a subsequence which converges in the $\mathcal{T}$-topology:

$$u_n \in \mathcal{N},\ J'(u_n) \to 0,\ J(u_n) \to \beta \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad u_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} u \in X \ \text{ along a subsequence.}$$

According to [6] (cf. [7]) we know that the above conditions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B3) imply

$$c = \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J \geq a > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover if J is *coercive on* $\mathcal{N}$, i.e. $J(u) \to \infty$ as $u \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\|u\| \to \infty$, and satisfies the $(PS)^{\mathcal{T}}_c$-condition in $\mathcal{N}$, then c is achieved by a critical point of J, and if J is additionally even and satisfies the $(PS)^{\mathcal{T}}_\beta$-condition in $\mathcal{N}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then J has unbounded sequence of critical values.

Since the $(PS)^{\mathcal{T}}_\beta$-condition in $\mathcal{N}$ may be not satisfied for all β or this condition could be difficult to check, we introduce a *compactly perturbed* problem with respect to another decomposition of X. Namely, suppose that

$$\widetilde{X} = X^0 \oplus X^1,$$

where X^0, X^1 are closed in $\widetilde{X}$, and X^0 is a Hilbert space. For $u \in \widetilde{X}$ we denote $u^0 \in X^0$ and $u^1 \in X^1$ the corresponding summands so that $u = u^0 + u^1$. We use the same notation for the scalar product in $X^+ \oplus X^0$ and $\langle u, u\rangle = \|u\|^2 = \|u^+\|^2 + \|u^0\|^2$ for any $u = u^+ + u^0 \in X^+ \oplus X^0$, hence X^+ and X^0 are orthogonal. We consider another functional $J_{cp} \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ of the form

$$J_{cp}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u^+ + u^0\|^2 - I_{cp}(u) \quad \text{for } u = u^+ + u^0 + u^1 \in X^+ \oplus X^0 \oplus X^1. \tag{3.5}$$

We define the corresponding Nehari-Pankov manifold for J_{cp}

$$\mathcal{N}_{cp} := \{u \in X \setminus X^1 : J'_{cp}(u)|_{\mathbb{R}u \oplus X^1} = 0\},$$

and we assume that J_{cp} satisfies all corresponding assumptions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B3), where we replace $X^+ \oplus X^0$, X^1 and I_{cp} instead of X^+, $\widetilde{X}$ and I respectively. Moreover we enlist new additional conditions:

(C1) $J_{cp}(u_n) - J(u_n) \to 0$ if $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{cp}$ is bounded and $(u_n^+ + u_n^0) \rightharpoonup 0$. Moreover there is $M > 0$ such that $J_{cp}(u) - J(u) \le M\|u^+ + u^0\|^2$ for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{cp}$.

(C2) $I(t_n u_n)/t_n^2 \to \infty$ if $t_n \to \infty$ and $(I(tu_n^+))_n$ is bounded away from 0 for any $t > 1$.

(C3) J' is weak-to-weak* continuous on $\mathcal{N}$, i.e. if $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{N}$, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, then $J'(u_n) \rightharpoonup J'(u)$ in X^*. Moreover J is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous on $\mathcal{N}$, i.e. if $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{N}$, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\liminf_{n\to\infty} J(u_n) \ge J(u)$.

Observe that (C1) joins two functionals J_{cp} and J such that any bounded sequence of $\mathcal{N}_{cp}$ with the weakly convergent part to 0 in $X^+ \oplus X^0$ is mapped by $J_{cp} - J$ in a compact set. Therefore, if (C1) holds, then we say that J_{cp} is *compactly perturbed* with respect to J. It is easy to check that if $I(u) > 0$ for $u \in X^+ \setminus \{0\}$, then (C2) implies (B2). Note that in (C3) we require is an additional regularity for J.

If J is coercive on $\mathcal{N}$, then for any $(PS)_c$-sequence $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{N}$ one has $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ up to a subsequence, and the first main difficulty is to ensure that u is nontrivial. The second one it to show that u is a critical point. To this aim, and to analyse multiplicity of critical points we present the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $J \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ be coercive on $\mathcal{N}$ and let $J_{cp} \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ be coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{cp}$. Suppose that J and J_{cp} satisfy (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B3) and set $c = \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J$ and $d = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{cp}} J_{cp}$. Then the following statements hold:*

a) If (C1)-(C2) hold and $\beta < d$, then any $(PS)_\beta$-sequence in $\mathcal{N}$ contains a weakly convergent subsequence with a nontrivial limit point.

b) If (C1)-(C3) hold and $c < d$, then c is achieved by a critical point (ground state) of J.

c) Suppose that J is even and satisfies the $(PS)_\beta^{\mathcal{T}}$-condition in $\mathcal{N}$ for any $\beta < \beta_0$ for some fixed $\beta_0 \in (c, +\infty]$. Let

$$m(\mathcal{N}, \beta_0) = \sup\{\gamma(J^{-1}((0, \beta]) \cap \mathcal{N}) : \beta < \beta_0\} \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3.6}$$

where γ stands for the Krasnoselskii genus for closed and symmetric subsets of X. Then J has at least $m(\mathcal{N}, \beta_0)$ pairs of critical points u and $-u$ such that $u \neq 0$ and $c \le J(u) < \beta_0$.

Proof. Similarly as in Proposition 3.1 we define a homeomorphism $n_{cp} : S(X^+ \oplus X^0) \to \mathcal{N}_{cp}$, where $S(X^+ \oplus X^0)$ stands for the unit sphere in $X^+ \oplus X^0$. As in [6, 40] one proves that

(i) $n : S(X^+) \to \mathcal{N}$, $n_{cp} : S(X^+ \oplus X^0) \to \mathcal{N}_{cp}$ are homeomorphisms with inverses $\mathcal{N} \to S(X^+)$, $\mathcal{N}_{cp} \to S(X^+ \oplus X^0)$ given by $u \mapsto u^+/\|u^+\|$, $u \mapsto (u^+ + u^0)/\|u^+ + u^0\|$ respectively.
(ii) $J \circ n : S(X^+) \to \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{C}^1$.
(iii) $(J \circ n)'(u) = \|n(u)^+\| \cdot J'(u)|_{T_u SX^+} : T_u S(X^+) \to \mathbb{R}$ for every $u \in S(X^+)$.
(iv) $(u_n)_n \subset S(X^+)$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $J \circ n$ if, and only if, $(n(u_n))_n$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for J in $\mathcal{N}$.
(v) $u \in S(X^+)$ is a critical point of $J \circ n$ if, and only if, $n(u)$ is a critical point of J.
(vi) If J is even, then so is $J \circ n$.

a) Let $(n(u_n))_n$ be a $(PS)_\beta$-sequence for J in $\mathcal{N}$, $\beta < d$. Observe that by (3.4) we have $\beta \geq c > 0$. Since X is reflexive and J is coercive on $\mathcal{N}$, then we may assume that $n(u_n) \rightharpoonup u$ for some $u \in X$. Suppose that $u = 0$. Since $n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|)$ is the unique global maximum of $J_{cp}|_{\mathbb{R}^+ n(u_n)^+ \oplus X^1}$ we find $t_n > 0$ and $v_n \in X^1$ such that

$$n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|) = t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{cp}.$$

Suppose that $t_n \to \infty$. In view of (B3) applied to J_{cp} with $t = 0$, $v = 0$ and $u = t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n)$, one obtains

$$\|n(u_n)^+\|^2 = \frac{I'_{cp}(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n))(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2} \geq \frac{2I_{cp}(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2}.$$

Since (C1) holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n)) - I_{cp}(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n)) &= J_{cp}(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n)) - J(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n)) \\ &\leq M t_n^2 \|n(u_n)^+\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and we get

$$(1 + 2M)\|n(u_n)^+\|^2 \geq \frac{2I(t_n(n(u_n)^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2}.$$

Then, by (C2) there is $t > 1$ such that

$$I(tn(u_n)^+) \to 0 \tag{3.7}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Since $n(u_n) \in \mathcal{N}$, and in view of (B3), we get

$$\frac{t^2 - 1}{2}\|n(u_n)^+\|^2 = \frac{t^2 - 1}{2} I'(n(u_n))(n(u_n)) \leq I(tn(u_n)^+) - I(n(u_n)).$$

Then, by (A1) and (3.7) we infer that

$$n(u_n)^+ \to 0.$$

Observe that

$$0 < \beta = \lim_{n\to\infty} J(n(u_n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{2}\|n(u_n)^+\|^2 - I(n(u_n))\Big) \le \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2}\|n(u_n)^+\|^2 = 0$$

and we get a contradiction. Therefore t_n is bounded and passing to a subsequence

$$\begin{aligned} n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|)^+ + n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|)^0 &= n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|)^+ \\ &= t_n n(u_n)^+ \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } X. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$J_{cp}(n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|)) \le \frac{1}{2}\|t_n n(u_n)^+\|^2,$$

then $(n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|))_n$ is bounded and by (C1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta + o(1) &= J(n(u_n)) \\ &\ge J(n_{cp}(n(u_n)^+/\|n(u_n)^+\|)) \\ &\ge d + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which gives a contradiction with $\beta < d$. Thus $u \neq 0$.

b) The existence of a $(PS)_c$-sequence $(n(u_n))_n$ for J in $\mathcal{N}$ follows from (ii) and (iv) because

$$c = \inf_{S(X^+)} J \circ n.$$

In view of a) we get $n(u_n) \rightharpoonup u \neq 0$ and by (C3) we have $J'(u) = 0$. Thus $u \in \mathcal{N}$ and

$$c = \liminf_{n\to\infty} J(n(u_n)) \ge J(u) \ge c.$$

c) Let $K \subset S(X^+)$ be the set of all critical points of $\Phi := J \circ n : S(X^+) \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $m(\mathcal{N}, \beta_0) \ge 1$. If K is infinite, then by (v) we have that $n(K)$ is an infinite set of critical points of J and we conclude. Suppose that K is finite. In view of [32][Lemma II.3.9, Remark II.3.10] there is a pseudo-gradient vector field $v : S(X^+) \setminus K \to TS(X^+)$, which is Lipschitz continuous, odd and such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(u) &\in T_u S(X^+), \\ \|v(u)\| &< 2\|\Phi'(u)\|, \qquad (3.8) \\ \langle v(u), \Phi(u)\rangle &> \frac{1}{2}\|\Phi'(u)\|^2 \qquad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in S(X^+) \setminus K$. Let $\eta : \mathcal{G} \to S(X^+) \setminus K$ be the corresponding Φ-decreasing flow given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta(t,u) = -v(\eta(t,u)) \\ \eta(0,u) = u \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{G} := \{(t,u) \in [0,+\infty) \times (S(X^+) \setminus K) : \ t < T(u)\}$ and $T(u)$ is the maximal time of the existence of $\eta(\cdot,u)$. Let us denote $\Phi_\alpha^\beta = \Phi^{-1}([\alpha,\beta])$ and $\Phi^\beta = \Phi^{-1}((-\infty,\beta])$ for any $\alpha < \beta$. Take $\beta \in [c,\beta_0)$ and let

$$K_\beta := \{u \in K : \ \Phi(u) = \beta\}.$$

Let us take $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $K \cap \Phi_{\beta-\varepsilon_0}^{\beta+\varepsilon_0} = K_\beta$ and $\varepsilon_0 < \beta_0 - \beta$. We will show that for every $\delta > 0$ there is $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0]$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to T(u)} \Phi(\eta(t,u)) < \beta - \varepsilon \text{ for } u \in \Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon} \setminus B(K_\beta,\delta). \tag{3.10}$$

Take $u \in \Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon}$ and observe that $\Phi(\eta(t,u))$ is bounded from below by c and decreasing in $t \in [0,T(u))$. Hence $\lim_{t\to T(u)} \Phi(\eta(t,u))$ exists. Suppose that (3.10) does not hold, i.e. there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0]$

$$A_\varepsilon := \{u \in \Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon} \setminus B(K_\beta,\delta) : \lim_{t\to T(u)} \Phi(\eta(t,u)) \geq \beta - \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let us define for $u \in \Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon_0} \setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)$

$$t(u) := \inf\{t \in [0,T(u)) : \eta(t,u) \in B(K_\beta,\delta/2)\},$$

and if $\eta(t,u) \notin B(K_\beta,\delta/2)$ for all $t < T(u)$, then we put $t(u) = T(u)$. Observe that if $T(u) < +\infty$, then $t(u) < T(u)$. We show that

$$\inf_{u\in\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon_0}\setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)} t(u) > 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Indeed, if $t(u_n) \to 0$ for some $u_n \in \Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon_0} \setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)$, then by (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{2} &\leq \|u_n - \eta(t(u_n),u_n)\| \leq \int_0^{t(u_n)} \|v(\eta(s,u_n))\|\,ds \leq 2\int_0^{t(u_n)} \|\Phi'(\eta(s,u_n))\|\,ds \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{2}\int_0^{t(u_n)} \big(\langle v(\eta(s,u_n)), \Phi(\eta(s,u_n))\rangle\big)^{1/2}\,ds \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t(u_n)}\Big(\int_0^{t(u_n)} \langle v(\eta(s,u_n)), \Phi(\eta(s,u_n))\rangle\,ds\Big)^{1/2} \\
&= 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t(u_n)}(\Phi(u_n) - \Phi(t(u_n),u_n))^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t(u_n)}(\beta+\varepsilon_0)^{1/2} \\
&\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$, hence we get a contradiction. Now let

$$\rho := \inf_{u\in A_{\varepsilon_0}} \int_0^{t(u)} \|\Phi'(\eta(s,u)\|^2\,ds.$$

If $\rho = 0$ then by (3.11) and passing to a subsequence we find $u_n \in A_{\varepsilon_0}$ and $t_n \in [0,t(u_n))$ such that

$$\Phi'(\eta(t_n,u_n)) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Again, passing to a subsequence and in view of (iv), $n(\eta(t_n,u_n))$ is a $(PS)_{\beta'}$-sequence for J for some $\beta-\varepsilon_0\le\beta'\le\beta+\varepsilon_0<\beta_0$. Thus we find a $\mathcal{T}$-convergent subsequence $n(\eta(t_n,u_n))\xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}}u_0$. Hence $\eta(t_n,u_n)\to n^{-1}(u_0)$, $n^{-1}(u_0)\in K_{\beta'}\subset K\cap\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon_0}_{\beta-\varepsilon_0}=K_\beta$ and $\beta'=\beta$. On the other hand, $n^{-1}(u_0)\in\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon_0}\setminus B(K_\beta,\delta/2)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\rho>0$ and we take

$$\varepsilon<\min\Big\{\varepsilon_0,\frac{1}{4}\rho\Big\}.$$

Let $u\in A_\varepsilon\subset A_{\varepsilon_0}$ and since

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\eta(t(u),u))-\Phi(u) &= \int_0^{t(u)}\Phi'(\eta(s,u))(-v(\eta(s,u)))\,ds\\ &\le -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{t(u)}\|\Phi'(\eta(s,u))\|^2\,ds,\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\beta-\varepsilon &\le \lim_{t\to T(u)}\Phi(\eta(t,u))\le\Phi(\eta(t(u),u))\\ &\le \beta+\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{t(u)}\|\Phi'(\eta(s,u))\|^2\,ds\le\beta+\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}\rho,\\ &< \beta-\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

which gives again a contradiction. Thus (3.10) holds and take δ such that

$$\gamma(B(K_\beta,\delta))=\gamma(K_\beta).$$

Let us define the entrance time map $e:\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon}\setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)\to[0,+\infty)$ such that

$$e(u):=\inf\{t\in[0,T(u)):\Phi(\eta(s,u))\le\beta-\varepsilon\}.$$

Let $u_n\to u_0$. If, passing to a subsequence, $(e(u_n))_n\to\infty$, then for $n\ge m$ such that $e(u_n)\ge e(u_m)>e(u_0)$ we obtain

$$\beta-\varepsilon=\Phi(\eta(e(u_n),u_n))\le\lim_{n\to\infty}\Phi(\eta(e(u_m),u_n))=\Phi(\eta(e(u_m),u_0))<\Phi(\eta(e(u_0),u_0))\le\beta-\varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we may assume that, up to a subsequence, $e(u_n)\to t_0\ge 0$. If $e(u_0)<t_0$, then similarly as above we get a contradiction. Suppose that $e(u_0)>t_0$. Then

$$\beta-\varepsilon=\Phi(\eta(e(u_n),u_n))=\Phi(\eta(t_0,u_0))>\Phi(\eta(e(u_0),u_0))$$

which is again a contradiction since $e(u_0)>0$ and $\Phi(\eta(e(u_0),u_0))=\beta-\varepsilon$. Therefore e is continuous and even. Moreover we may define a continuous and odd map

$$h:\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon}\setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)\to\Phi^{\beta-\varepsilon}$$

such that $h(u)=\eta(e(u),u)$ for $u\in\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon}\setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)$. Observe that

$$n(\Phi^\beta)=J^{-1}((0,\beta])\cap\mathcal{N}$$

and

$$\gamma(J^{-1}((0,\beta])\cap\mathcal{N}) = \gamma(\Phi^{\beta}).$$

Therefore

$$\beta_k \;:=\; \inf\{\beta\in\mathbb{R} : \gamma(\Phi^{\beta})\geq k\}.$$

are well-defined for $k=1,..,m(\mathcal{N},\beta_0)$. Take $\beta=\beta_k$ for some $k=1,..,m(\mathcal{N},\beta_0)$. Then

$$\gamma(\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon}\setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)) \leq \gamma(\Phi^{\beta-\varepsilon}) \leq k-1$$

and

$$k\leq \gamma(\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon}) \leq \gamma(B(K_\beta,\delta)) + \gamma(\Phi^{\beta+\varepsilon}\setminus B(K_\beta,\delta)) \leq \gamma(K_\beta)+k-1. \tag{3.12}$$

Thus $K_\beta\neq\emptyset$, and since it is finite one has $\gamma(K_\beta)=1$. Note that if $\beta_k=\beta_{k+1}$ for some $k<m(\mathcal{N},\beta_0)$, then by (3.12) we get $\gamma(K_{\beta_k})\geq 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\beta_1<\beta_2<...<\beta_{m(\mathcal{N},\beta_0)}$$

are critical values provided that $\beta_{m(\mathcal{N},\beta_0)}$ is finite. If $\beta_{m(\mathcal{N},\beta_0)}=\infty$, then we get infinite number of critical values β_k for $k=1,2,....$ □

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and (C1)-(C3) hold. If $X^0=\{0\}$, d is achieved by a critical point and $J(u)<J_{cp}(u)$ for any $u\in\mathcal{N}$, then $c<d$ and c is achieved by a critical point.*

Proof. Let $J'_{cp}(u_0)=0$ and $J_{cp}(u_0)=d$. Observe that $n(u_0)\in\mathbb{R}^+u_0\oplus\widetilde{X}=\mathbb{R}^+u_0\oplus X^1$ and

$$c\leq J(n(u_0))<J_{cp}(n(u_0))\leq J_{cp}(u_0)=d.$$

By Theorem 3.2 b) we conclude. □

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that there is a family of functionals $J_\lambda\in\mathcal{C}^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ of the form*

$$J_\lambda(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u^+\|^2-I_\lambda(u)\quad \text{for } u=u^++\widetilde{u}\in X^+\oplus\widetilde{X},$$

where J_λ and I_λ satisfy assumptions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B3) and (C2) for $\lambda\in I\subset\mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ is given by (3.2) and J_λ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Suppose that there is $L>0$ such that

$$0<J_{\lambda_1}(u)-J_{\lambda_2}(u)\leq L(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\|u\|^2 \text{ for } u\in X\setminus\widetilde{X} \text{ and } \lambda_1>\lambda_2,\ \lambda_1,\lambda_2\in I. \tag{3.13}$$

Then the map $I\ni\lambda\mapsto c_\lambda\in(0,+\infty)$ is non-decreasing and continuous. Moreover, if $c_\lambda:=\inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda}J_\lambda$ is attained for any $\lambda\in I$, then the map $I\ni\lambda\mapsto c_\lambda\in(0,+\infty)$ is strictly increasing.

Proof. Observe that for $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_1}$ we have

$$J_{\lambda_1}(u) \geq J_{\lambda_1}(n_{\lambda_2}(u)) > J_{\lambda_2}(n_{\lambda_2}(u)) \geq c_{\lambda_2}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $n_\lambda : S(X^+) \to \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in I$ denotes the homeomorhism defined after Proposition 3.1. Hence $c_{\lambda_1} \geq c_{\lambda_2}$ and the map $I \ni \lambda \mapsto c_\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ is non-decreasing. Now suppose that $\lambda_n \in I$ for $n \geq 0$ and let $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$ in I. Take $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_n}$ such that

$$c_{\lambda_n} + \frac{1}{n} \geq J_{\lambda_n}(u_n).$$

Let $\mu = \inf_{n\geq 0}\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\nu = \sup_{n\geq 0}\{\lambda_n\}$. Then $\mu, \nu \in I$ and by (3.13) for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_\nu$

$$J_\mu(u_n) \leq J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \leq c_n + \frac{1}{n} \leq J_{\lambda_n}(n_{\lambda_n}(u)) + \frac{1}{n} \leq J_\nu(n_{\lambda_n}(u)) + \frac{1}{n} \leq J_\nu(u) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since J_μ is coercive, we get that (u_n) is bounded. Let $n_{\lambda_0}(u_n) = t_n(u_n^+ + v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_0}$ and suppose that $t_n \to \infty$. In view of (B3) applied to I_{λ_0} one obtains

$$\|u_n^+\|^2 = \frac{I'_{\lambda_0}(t_n(u_n^+ + v_n))(t_n(u_n^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2} \geq \frac{2I_{\lambda_0}(t_n(u_n^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2},$$

and by (C2) applied to I_{λ_0}, we infer that there is $t > 1$ such that

$$I_{\lambda_0}(tu_n^+) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. In view of (3.13) we get

$$I_{\lambda_n}(tu_n^+) \to 0,$$

and by (B3) we obtain

$$\frac{t^2-1}{2}\|u_n^+\|^2 = \frac{t^2-1}{2} I'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)(u_n) \leq I_{\lambda_n}(tu_n^+) - I_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \leq I_{\lambda_n}(tu_n^+) \to 0.$$

Thus

$$u_n^+ \to 0.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;<\; & c_\mu \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} J_\mu(n_\mu(u_n)) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} J_{\lambda_n}(n_\mu(u_n)) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \\ = \; & \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{2}\|u_n^+\|^2 - I_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\Big) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2}\|u_n^+\|^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and we get a contradiction. Therefore t_n is bounded. Since

$$\|t_n u_n^+\|^2 \geq 2I_{\lambda_0}(n_{\lambda_0}(u_n)),$$

then by (B1) we get the boundedness of $(n_{\lambda_0}(u_n))$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda_0} \;\leq\; & J_{\lambda_0}(n_{\lambda_0}(u_n)) \leq J_{\lambda_n}(n_{\lambda_0}(u_n)) + L|\lambda_n - \lambda_0|\|n_{\lambda_0}(u_n)\|^2 \\ \leq \; & J_{\lambda_n}(u_n) + L|\lambda_n - \lambda_0|\|n_{\lambda_0}(u_n)\|^2 \\ \leq \; & c_{\lambda_n} + \frac{1}{n} + L|\lambda_n - \lambda_0|\|n_{\lambda_0}(u_n)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$c_{\lambda_0} \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} c_{\lambda_n}. \tag{3.15}$$

Now let $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_0$ and assume that $n_{\lambda_n}(u_0) = t_n(u_0^+ + v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_n}$ for some $t_n > 0$ and $v_n \in \widetilde{X}$. Then, in view of (B3) applied to I_{λ_n}, one obtains

$$\|u_0^+\|^2 = \frac{I'_{\lambda_n}(t_n(u_0^+ + v_n))(t_n(u_0^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2} \ge \frac{2I_{\lambda_n}(t_n(u_0^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2} \ge \frac{2I_\nu(t_n(u_0^+ + v_n))}{t_n^2}.$$

Then by (B2) applied to I_ν, we infer that t_n is bounded. Since

$$\|t_n u_0^+\|^2 \ge 2I_\nu(n_{\lambda_n}(u_0)),$$

then by (B1) we get the boundedness of $(n_{\lambda_n}(u_0))$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda_0}(u_0) &\ge J_{\lambda_0}(n_{\lambda_n}(u_0)) \ge J_{\lambda_n}(n_{\lambda_n}(u_0)) - L|\lambda_0 - \lambda_n|\|n_{\lambda_n}(u_0)\|^2 \\ &\ge c_{\lambda_n} - L|\lambda_0 - \lambda_n|\|n_{\lambda_n}(u_0)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $c_{\lambda_0} \ge \limsup_{n\to\infty} c_{\lambda_n}$ and taking into account (3.15) we get

$$c_{\lambda_0} = \lim_{n\to\infty} c_{\lambda_n},$$

which completes the proof of the continuity of c_λ. Now observe that as in (3.14) we we get the strict inequality $c_{\lambda_1} > c_{\lambda_2}$ provided that c_{λ_1} is attained. □

4. Problem (1.3) and proofs

Recall that there is a continuous tangential trace operator $\gamma_t : H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\gamma_t(E) = \nu \times E_{|\partial\Omega} \qquad \text{for any } E \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^3)$$

and (see [26, Theorem 3.33])

$$H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) = \{E \in H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) : \gamma_t(E) = 0\},$$

so that any vector field $E \in W_0^p(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} \subset H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ satisfies boundary condition (1.4).

The spectrum of the curl-curl operator in $H_0(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ consists of the eigenvalue 0 with infinite multiplicity and the eigenspace $\mathcal{W}$, and of a sequence of eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \le \lambda_k \to \infty$ with finite multiplicities $m(\lambda_k)$ and eigenfunctions in $\mathcal{V}$. Indeed, similarly as in [26, Theorem 4.18], for any $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ the equation

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times v) + v = f \tag{4.1}$$

has a unique solution $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and the operator

$$K : L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathcal{V} \subset L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \quad Kf = v \text{ solves (4.1)},$$

is self-adjoint and compact, since $\mathcal{V} \subset X_N$ embeds compactly into $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ due to [1][Theorem 2.8].

In this section we assume (V), and as a consequence of the embedding $\mathcal{V} \subset L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$

$$(E_1, E_2) = \int_\Omega \langle \nabla \times E_1, \nabla \times E_2 \rangle \, dx$$

in $\mathcal{V}$ is equivalent to the standard inner product in $H(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega)$

$$\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \int_\Omega \langle \nabla \times E_1, \nabla \times E_2 \rangle + \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \, dx.$$

For $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ one has

$$\int_\Omega \langle v, w \rangle \, dx = 0,$$

which means that $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover in $W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$ we consider the following norm

$$\|v + w\| := \big((v, v) + |w|_p^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for } v + w \in \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W},$$

which is equivalent with $\| \cdot \|_{W_0^p(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)}$ due to the embedding $\mathcal{V} \subset L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{W}$ contains all gradient vector fields

$$\nabla W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{W}.$$

Recall that if Ω is simply connected with connected boundary, then $\nabla W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \mathcal{W}$ as in [6]. However, for general domains $\{w \in \mathcal{W} : \nabla \times w = 0\}$ is nontrivial; see [7] for problem (1.3) on general domains with subcritical nonlinearities.

Let $\lambda \leq 0$ and

$$n := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_k + \lambda > 0\} = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \lambda_{k-1} + \lambda \leq 0\}$$

be the dimension of the semi-negative eigenspace, where $\lambda_0 = 0$. Then, the quadratic form Q given by (2.2) is negative semidefinite on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and negative definite if $\lambda_{n-1} < -\lambda$. Here $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is the finite sum of the eigenspaces associated to all λ_k for $k < n$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} = \{0\}$ if $n = 1$. Moreover Q is positive definite on the space $\mathcal{V}^+ = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^\perp$ being the infinite sum of the eigenspaces associated to the eigenvalues λ_k for $k \geq n$. For any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ we denote $v^+ \in \mathcal{V}^+$ and $\widetilde{v} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ the corresponding summands such that $v = v^+ + \widetilde{v}$.

Let $X^+ := \mathcal{V}^+$, $\widetilde{X} := \widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{W}$ and we consider the functional $J : X = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by (1.7), i.e. for $E = v + w = v^+ + \widetilde{v} + w$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(E) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla \times v|^2 \, dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_\Omega |v + w|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |v + w|^p \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_\Omega (|v|^2 + |w|^2) \, dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |v + w|^p \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|v^+\|^2 - I_\lambda(v + w), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_\lambda(v+w) = -\frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega \left(|v|^2 + |w|^2\right)\,dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |v+w|^p\,dx. \tag{4.2}$$

Hence J_λ has the form (3.1) and we shall show that J_λ satisfies the assumptions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B3) and (C1)-(C2) from Section 3.

Lemma 4.1. *If $E \in \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{W}$, $\widetilde{v}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, $w\in\mathcal{W}$ and $t\geq 0$, then*

$$J_\lambda(E) \geq J_\lambda(tE+\widetilde{v}+w) - J'_\lambda(E)\left(\frac{t^2-1}{2}E + t(\widetilde{v}+w)\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover the strict inequality holds provided that $E \neq tE+\widetilde{v}+w$.

Proof. Let $E \in \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{W}$, $\widetilde{v}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, $w\in\mathcal{W}$ and $t\geq 0$. Then we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &J'_\lambda(E)\left[\frac{t^2-1}{2}E + t(\widetilde{v}+w)\right] + J_\lambda(E) - J_\lambda(tE+\widetilde{v}+w) \\ &\quad = -\frac{1}{2}Q(\widetilde{v}) - \frac{\lambda}{2}|w|_2^2 + \int_\Omega \varphi(t,x)\,dx \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\varphi(t,x) = -\left\langle |E|^{p-2}E, \frac{t^2-1}{2}E + t(\widetilde{v}+w)\right\rangle - \frac{1}{p}|E|^p + \frac{1}{p}|tE+\widetilde{v}+w|^p.$$

Let $E(x)\neq 0$. We can check that $\varphi(0,x)\geq 0$, $\varphi(t,x)\to+\infty$ as $t\to\infty$ and note that if $\partial_t\varphi(t_0,x)=0$ for some $t_0>0$, then $t_0E+\widetilde{v}+w=0$ or $|E|^p=|t_0E+\widetilde{v}+w|^p$, hence $\varphi(t_0,x)\geq 0$. Then we infer that $\varphi(t,x)\geq 0$ for any $t\geq 0$. If $Q(\widetilde{v})<0$ or $w\neq 0$ then the inequality (4.4) is strict. If $\widetilde{v}=0$ and $w=0$, then $\varphi(t,x) = \left(\frac{t^p}{p} - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)|E|^p > 0$ provided that $E\neq tE$. □

Similarly as in [6, 7] we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Conditions (A1)-(A4), (B1), (B3) hold for J_λ.*

Proof. As in [6][Lemma 5.1] we show that (A1)-(A2), (A4) and (B1) hold, i.e.

- I_λ is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, $I_\lambda(E)\geq I_\lambda(0)=0$ for any $E\in X=\mathcal{V}\times\mathcal{W}$, and since I_λ is convex, then I_λ is $\mathcal{T}$-sequentially lower semicontinuous.
- There is $r>0$ such that $0 < \inf\limits_{\substack{v\in\mathcal{V}^+\\ \|v\|=r}} J_\lambda(v)$.
- $\|v^+\| + I_\lambda(v+w)\to\infty$ as $\|v+w\|\to\infty$.

Moreover we easily check (A3), since $E_n \rightharpoonup E_0$ in $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $I_\lambda(E_n)\to I_\lambda(E_0)$ imply $|E_n|_p\to|E_0|_p$ as $n\to\infty$, thus $E_n\to E_0$ in $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$. Observe that (B3) is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.3. *Conditions (B2) and (C2) are satisfied.*

Proof. Since $I_\lambda(v) > 0$ for $v \in \mathcal{V}^+$, it is enough to show only (C2). Let us consider sequences $t_n \to \infty$ and $v_n \in \mathcal{V}$, $w_n \in \mathcal{W}$ such that for some $c > 0$ and $t > 1$ we have

$$I_\lambda(tv_n^+) \geq c > 0 \text{ for any } n \geq 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that

$$I_\lambda(t_n(v_n + w_n)) \geq \frac{t_n^{p-2}}{p}|v_n + w_n|_p^p$$

and if $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |v_n + w_n|_p > 0$, then passing to a subsequence we conclude. Suppose that, up to a subsequence, $v_n + w_n \to 0$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then $v_n^+ \to 0$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, hence $I_\lambda(tv_n^+) \to 0$, which contradicts (4.5). □

4.1. **Weak-to-weak* continuity.** In general J'_λ is not weak-to-weak* continuous in $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$, so it is not clear whether a weak limit point of a Palais-Smale sequence is a critical point. We are able to show this continuity of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ provided that $\mathcal{V}$ is compactly embedded into $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 4.4. *If $\mathcal{V}$ is compactly embedded into $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, then J'_λ is weak-to-weak* continuous on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and (C3) holds*

Proof. Let us define $K : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$K(v, w) := -\frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega |v + w|^2\, dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |v + w|^p\, dx$$

for $v \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $\xi : L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \to \mathcal{W}$ be a map such that

$$K(v, \xi(v)) = \min_{w\in\mathcal{W}} K(v, w) \tag{4.6}$$

for $v \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $K(v, \cdot)$ is strictly convex and coercive for $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then ξ is well-defined. We show that ξ is continuous. Take $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and since

$$K(v_n, \xi(v_n)) \leq K(v_n, 0)$$

then $(\xi(v_n))$ is bounded. We may assume that $\xi(v_n) \rightharpoonup w_0$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. By the weak lower continuity we infer that

$$K(v_0, \xi(v_0)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} K(v_n, \xi(v_0)) \geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} K(v_n, \xi(v_n)) \geq K(v_0, w_0) \geq K(v_0, \xi(v_0)),$$

hence $\xi(v_0) = w_0$. Then $\xi(v_n) \rightharpoonup \xi(v_0)$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $|v_n + \xi(v_n)|_p \to |v_0 + \xi(v_0)|_p$. Therefore $\xi(v_n) \to \xi(v_0)$ in $\mathcal{W}$.

Note that if $v + w \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, then

$$K(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}\|^2 + I_\lambda(v + w) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}\|^2 + I_\lambda(v + \psi) = K(v, \psi)$$

for any $\psi \in \mathcal{W}$. Hence $w = \xi(v)$.

Now suppose that $E_n \rightharpoonup E_0$ and $E_n = v_n + w_n \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ for $n \geq 1$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ embeds compactly into $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and ξ is continuous, then, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $E_n = v_n + \xi(v_n) \to E_0$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$. Now observe that for $\varphi + \psi \in \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$

$$\begin{aligned} J'_\lambda(E_n)(\varphi+\psi) &= (E_n, \varphi) + \lambda \int_\Omega \langle E_n, \varphi+\psi\rangle \, dx - \int_\Omega \langle |E_n|^{p-2}E_n, \varphi+\psi\rangle \, dx \\ &\to J'_\lambda(E_0)(\varphi+\psi), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the weak-to-weak* continuity. Moreover if $E_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(E_n) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \int_\Omega |E_n|^p \, dx = J_\lambda(E_0).$$

□

In our problem (1.3), however, $\mathcal{V}$ is not compactly embedded into $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ in general, and we require the cylindrical symmetry to get (C3) in a subspace X^{cyl}. Namely we assume that Ω is G-invariant, and then J_λ is G-invariant and any $E \in X^G = \mathcal{V}^G \oplus \mathcal{W}^G$ has a unique decomposition $E = E_\tau + E_\rho + E_\zeta$ with summands of the form

$$E_\tau(x) = \alpha(r, x_3) \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; E_\rho(x) = \beta(r, x_3) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; E_\zeta(x) = \gamma(r, x_3) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ and X^G consists of all G-equivariant vector fields. Then we may define the following linear isometry

$$S : X^G \to X^G, \quad S(E_\tau + E_\rho + E_\zeta) := E_\tau - E_\rho - E_\zeta$$

and J_λ is invariant under S. Similarly as in the subcritical case [6, 7], by the principle of symmetric criticality it is sufficient to look for critical points of J_λ constrained to

$$X^{cyl} := (X^G)^S = \{E \in X^G : S(E) = E\} = \{E \in X^G : E = E_\tau\} \subset \mathcal{V}.$$

As above, we find $n \geq 0$ such that $-\lambda_n^{cyl} < \lambda \leq -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}$, Q is positive on $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+} \subset X^{cyl}$ and semi-negative on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$, where $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl})^\perp$ is the infinite sum of the eigenspaces associated to the eigenvalues λ_k^{cyl} for $k \geq n$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ is the finite sum of the eigenspaces associated to all λ_k for $k < n$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl} = \{0\}$ if $n = 1$. Since $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+} \subset \mathcal{V}^+$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, any $v \in \mathcal{V}^{cyl}$ has the corresponding decomposition $v = v^+ + \widetilde{v}$.

Note that $J_\lambda|_{X^{cyl}} : X := X^{cyl} = \mathcal{V}^{cyl+} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies conditions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B3) and (C2) similarly as in Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, where $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ is given by (2.4), $X^+ := \mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$,

$\widetilde{X} := \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ and for $E = v^+ + \widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{V}^{cyl+} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(E) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla \times v|^2\,dx + \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega |v|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |v|^p\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|v^+\|^2 - I_\lambda(v). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. *J'_λ is weak-to-weak* continuous in $\mathcal{V}$, hence on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ and (C3) holds for $J_\lambda|_{X^{cyl}}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $E_n \rightharpoonup E_0$ in $\mathcal{V}$. In view of (V), $\mathcal{V}$ embeds compactly into $L^{p-1}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$, then, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $E_n \to E_0$ in $L^{p-1}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$. Now observe that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} J'_\lambda(E_n)(\varphi) &= (E_n,\varphi) + \lambda\int_\Omega \langle E_n,\varphi\rangle\,dx - \int_\Omega \langle |E_n|^{p-2}E_n,\varphi\rangle\,dx \\ &\to J'_\lambda(E_0)(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the weak-to-weak* continuity. Moreover if $E_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(E_n) &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)\int_\Omega |E_n|^p\,dx \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)\int_\Omega |E_0|^p\,dx \\ &= J_\lambda(E_0), \end{aligned}$$

so that (C3) holds. □

4.2. **Compactly perturbed problem and proof of Theorem 2.2.** We take $X^0 := \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, $X^1 := \mathcal{W}$ and let us consider the functional $J_{cp} : X = \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{cp}(E) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla\times E|^2\,dx + \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega |w|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |E|^p\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 - I_{cp}(E), \text{ for } E = v + w \in \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{W}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $I_{cp}(E) = -\frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega |w|^2\,dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |E|^p\,dx$. Moreover we define the corresponding Nehari-Pankov manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_{cp} = \{E \in (\mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{W})\setminus\mathcal{W} :\ J'_{cp}(E)|_{\mathbb{R}E\oplus\mathcal{W}} = 0\}.$$

Observe that as in Lemma 4.2 and in Lemma 4.3 we show that J_{cp} satisfies the corresponding conditions (A1)-(A4) and (B1)-(B3). Moreover if $E \in \mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{W}$, $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $t \geq 0$ then

$$J_{cp}(E) \geq J_{cp}(tE + w) - J'_{cp}(E)\left(\frac{t^2-1}{2}E + tw\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Since for $E = v + w$ we have

$$J_\lambda(E) - J_{cp}(E) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega |v|^2\,dx,$$

and $\mathcal{V}$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$, then we easily show that condition (C1) holds.

Lemma 4.6. *J_λ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and J_{cp} is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{cp}$.*

Proof. Let $E_n = v_n + w_n \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and suppose that $E_n \to \infty$. Observe that

$$J_\lambda(E_n) = J_\lambda(E_n) - \frac{1}{2}J'_\lambda(E_n)(E_n) = \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)|E_n|_p^p \geq C_1|w_n|_p^p$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$, since $\mathcal{W}$ is closed, $\operatorname{cl}\mathcal{V}\cap\mathcal{W} = \{0\}$ in $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the projection $\operatorname{cl}\mathcal{V}\oplus\mathcal{W}$ onto $\mathcal{W}$ is continuous. Hence, if $|E_n|_p \to \infty$, then $J_\lambda(E_n)\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$. Suppose that $|E_n|_p$ is bounded. Then $\|v_n\|\to\infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(E_n) = J_\lambda(E_n) - \frac{1}{p}J'_\lambda(E_n)(E_n) &= \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla\times v_n|^2\,dx + \lambda\int_\Omega |v_n+w_n|^2\,dx\Big)\\ &\geq \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla\times v_n|^2\,dx + \lambda C_2|E_n|_p^2\Big), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$. Thus $J_\lambda(E_n)\to\infty$. Similarly we show that J_{cp} is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{cp}$. □

Lemma 4.7. *The following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda &= \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda} J_\lambda \leq \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)(\lambda+\lambda_n)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\mu(\Omega),\\ c_0 &\geq d_\lambda := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{cp}} J_{cp} \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)S^{\frac{p}{p-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $e_n \in \mathcal{V}^+$ be an eigenvector corresponding to λ_n. Then $te_n + \widetilde{v} + w \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ for some $t>0$, $\widetilde{v}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and $w\in\mathcal{W}$. Let $v = te_n + \widetilde{v}$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda &\leq J_\lambda(te_n+\widetilde{v}+w)\\ &= \frac{\lambda_n}{2}\int_\Omega |te_n|^2\,dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla\times\widetilde{v}|^2\,dx + \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega |v+w|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |v+w|^p\,dx\\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_n}{2}\int_\Omega |v|^2\,dx + \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_\Omega |v+w|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |v+w|^p\,dx\\ &\leq \frac{\lambda+\lambda_n}{2}\int_\Omega |v+w|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |v+w|^p\,dx\\ &\leq \frac{\lambda+\lambda_n}{2}\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\Big(\int_\Omega |v+w|^p\,dx\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |v+w|^p\,dx\\ &\leq \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)(\lambda+\lambda_n)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\mu(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $E = v + w \in \mathcal{N}_{cp}$. Note that by (4.8) we show the first inequality

$$J_{cp}(E) \geq J_{cp}(tv) \geq \frac{1}{2}t^2 S\Big(\int_\Omega |v|^p\,dx\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \frac{1}{p}t^p\int_\Omega |v|^p\,dx \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\Big)S^{\frac{p}{p-2}},$$

and the last one is obtained by taking

$$t := S^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \Big(\int_\Omega |v|^p \, dx \Big)^{-\frac{1}{p}} > 0.$$

Thus $d_\lambda \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big) S^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$. Moreover if $E \in \mathcal{N}_0$ then there are $t > 0$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $tE + w \in \mathcal{N}_{cp}$ and $J_0(E) \geq J_0(tE + w) \geq J_{cp}(tE + w) \geq d_\lambda$. Therefore $c_0 \geq d_\lambda$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Note that if $\lambda + \lambda_n < S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}$, then by Lemma 4.7 we get $c_\lambda < d_\lambda \leq c_0$ and statement a) follows from Theorem 3.2 a). Since J_λ satisfies (3.13), by Theorem 3.4, the function $(-\lambda_n, -\lambda_{n-1}] \ni \lambda \mapsto c_\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ is non-decreasing and continuous. Suppose that $-\lambda_n < \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq -\lambda_{n-1}$, $c_{\mu_1} = c_{\mu_2}$ and c_λ is attained for some $\lambda \in (\mu_1, \mu_2]$. Then, similarly as in (3.14), we show that $c_\lambda > c_{\mu_1}$, which is a contradiction. In view of Lemma 4.7, we infer that $c_\lambda \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_n^-$. □

4.3. **Compactly perturbed problem and proof of Theorem 2.3.** In the cylindrically symmetric case, we take $X^0 := \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$, $X^1 := \{0\}$ and we consider the compactly perturbed functional $J_{cp}|_{X^{cyl}} = J_0|_{X^{cyl}} : X^{cyl} \to \mathbb{R}$, so that $J_{cp}|_{X^{cyl}}$ is independent on λ and

$$J_{cp}(E) = J_0(E) = \frac{1}{2}\|E\|^2 - I_{cp}(E), \text{ for } E \in X^{cyl} \subset \mathcal{V},$$

where $I_{cp}(E) = I_0(E) = \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |E|^p \, dx$. We consider the corresponding Nehari-Pankov manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_{cp} := \mathcal{N}_0^{cyl} = \{E \in X^{cyl} \setminus \{0\} : \ J'_{cp}(E)|_{\mathbb{R}E} = 0\},$$

which coincides with the usual Nehari manifold of $J_0|_{X^{cyl}}$. Similarly as in Lemma 4.2 and in Lemma 4.3 we check that $J_{cp}|_{X^{cyl}}$ satisfies the corresponding conditions (A1)-(A4) and (B1)-(B3).

Since for $E \in X^{cyl} \subset \mathcal{V}$ we have

$$J_\lambda(E) - J_{cp}(E) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_\Omega |E|^2 \, dx,$$

and $\mathcal{V}$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$, then we easily show that condition (C1) holds. Observe that J_λ is also coercive on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ and the similar estimates as in Lemma 4.7 are satisfied.

Lemma 4.8. *The following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda^{cyl} &= \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}} J_\lambda \leq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big)(\lambda + \lambda_n^{cyl})^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \mu(\Omega) \\ c_0^{cyl} &:= \inf_{\mathcal{N}_0^{cyl}} J_0 \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big) S^{\frac{p}{p-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that the Palais-Smale condition in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ in the corresponding $\mathcal{T}$-topology holds for sufficiently small β.

Lemma 4.9. *$J_\lambda|_{X^{cyl}}$ satisfies the $(PS)_\beta^{\mathcal{T}}$-condition in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ for*

$$\beta < \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big) S^{\frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

Proof. Let (E_n) be a $(PS)_\beta$-sequence such that $(E_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$. By the coercivity of J_λ we observe that (E_n) is bounded and we may assume that $E_n \rightharpoonup E_0$ in X^{cyl}. In view of (V) and since $X_N(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ we have that $E_n \to E_0$ in $L^{p-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ passing a subsequence if necessary. Hence by the weak-to-weak* continuity of J'_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ we infer that $J'_\lambda|_{X^{cyl}}(E_0) = 0$. In view of the Brezis-Lieb lemma [11] we get

$$\int_\Omega |E_n|^p\,dx - \int_\Omega |E_n - E_0|^p\,dx = \int_\Omega |E_0|^p\,dx,$$

hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big(J_\lambda(E_n) - J_\lambda(E_n - E_0)\big) = J_\lambda(E_0) \geq 0 \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big(J'_0(E_n)(E_n) - J'_0(E_n - E_0)(E_n - E_0)\big) = J'_0(E_0)(E_0). \tag{4.10}$$

Since $E_n \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ and $E_n \to E_0$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ then

$$J'_0(E_n)(E_n) = J'_\lambda(E_n)(E_n) - \lambda \int_\Omega |E_n|^2\,dx \to -\lambda \int_\Omega |E_0|^2$$

as $n \to \infty$ and

$$J'_0(E_0)(E_0) = J'_\lambda(E_0)(E_0) - \lambda \int_\Omega |E_0|^2\,dx = -\lambda \int_\Omega |E_0|^2\,dx.$$

Therefore by (4.10)

$$J'_0(E_n - E_0)(E_n - E_0) \to 0 \tag{4.11}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Note that in view of (4.8) we get the following inequality

$$J_0(E_n - E_0) \geq J_0(t(E_n - E_0)) + J'_0(E_n - E_0)\Big(\frac{t^2-1}{2}(E_n - E_0)\Big) \tag{4.12}$$

for any $t \geq 0$. Suppose that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|E_n - E_0\| > 0$. Then passing to a subsequence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|E_n - E_0\| > 0 \text{ and } \inf_{n\geq 1} \|E_n - E_0\| > 0.$$

Note that, since $J'_\lambda(E_n)(E_n - E_0) \to 0$ we get $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |E_n - E_0|_p > 0$ and we may assume that

$$\inf_{n\geq 1} |E_n - E_0|_p > 0.$$

Hence

$$t_n := \Big(\frac{\|E_n - E_0\|^2}{\int_\Omega |E_n - E_0|^p\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} > 0$$

is bounded and

$$J_0(t_n(E_n - E_0)) \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big) S^{\frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

Then (4.9), (4.11) and (4.12) imply that

$$\beta \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(E_n - E_0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} J_0(E_n - E_0) \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} J_0(t_n(E_n - E_0)) \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big) S^{\frac{p}{p-2}},$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, passing to a subsequence, $E_n \to E_0$, hence also in the $\mathcal{T}$-topology. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $E \in X^{cyl}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to λ_n^{cyl} such that $|E|_p = 1$. Then, by the definition of S and by the Hölder inequality, we get

$$S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \leq \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \int_\Omega |\nabla \times E|^2\,dx = \lambda_n^{cyl}\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \int_\Omega |E|^2\,dx \leq \lambda_n^{cyl}.$$

Then, in view of Lemma 4.8, we may define

$$\varepsilon_n := \sup\{\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda_n^{cyl}] : c^{cyl}_{\lambda_n+\varepsilon} < c_0^{cyl}\} \geq S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

By Theorem 3.4, we infer that $(-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}] \ni \lambda \mapsto c_\lambda^{cyl} \in (0, +\infty)$ is continuous and non-decreasing. Hence $c_\lambda^{cyl} < c_0^{cyl}$ for $\lambda \in (-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n)$ and by Theorem 3.2 b) we obtain that c_λ^{cyl} is attained by a critical point of J_λ, thus a) is proved. Again, by Theorem 3.4 we show that the function $(-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n] \cap (-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}] \ni \lambda \mapsto c_\lambda^{cyl} \in (0, +\infty)$ is continuous and strictly increasing. Hence, taking into account also Lemma 4.8 we get statement c). If $\varepsilon_n < \lambda_n^{cyl} - \lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}$, then c_λ^{cyl} is not attained for $\lambda \in (-\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n, -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}]$. Indeed, if c_λ^{cyl} is attained and $\lambda > -\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n$, then, arguing as in (3.14), we get

$$c_0^{cyl} \geq c_\lambda^{cyl} > c_\mu$$

for some $\mu \in (-\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n, \lambda)$, which contradicts the definition of ε_n. Hence c_λ^{cyl} is not attained and the function for $\lambda \mapsto c_\lambda^{cyl} \in (0, +\infty)$ is constant for $\lambda \in [-\lambda_n^{cyl} + \varepsilon_n, -\lambda_{n-1}^{cyl}]$. Then, proof of b) is complete. Now we show d). Let $\lambda \in I = \big(-\lambda_n^{cyl}, -\lambda_n^{cyl} + S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}\big)$. In view of Lemma 4.9, $J_\lambda|_{X^{cyl}}$ satisfies the $(PS)^{\mathcal{T}}_\beta$-condition in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ for

$$\beta < \beta_0 := \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big) S^{\frac{p}{p-2}}.$$

We estimate $m(\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}, \beta_0)$ from below. Let $\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl})$ denote the eigenspace corresponding to λ_n^{cyl} such that $\dim \mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl}) = m(\lambda_n^{cyl})$. Since $\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl}) \subset \mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ we may define the continuous map $h : S(\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl})) \to \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ such that

$$h(E) = n_\lambda(E) \text{ for } E \in S(\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl})),$$

where $n_\lambda : S(\mathcal{V}^{cyl+}) \to \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ is the homeomorphism given after Proposition 3.1. Here $S(\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl}))$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl})$. Since J_λ is even, h is odd. Similarly as in Lemma 4.8, we show that for $E \in S(\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl}))$

$$J_\lambda(h(E)) \le \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big)(\lambda + \lambda_n)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\mu(\Omega) =: \beta$$

and thus

$$h(S(\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl}))) \subset J_\lambda|^{-1}_{X^{cyl}}((0,\beta]) \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}.$$

Observe that $J_\lambda|^{-1}_{X^{cyl}}((0,\beta]) \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$ is closed, symmetric and

$$\gamma(J_\lambda|^{-1}_{X^{cyl}}((0,\beta]) \cap \mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}) \ge \gamma(S(\mathcal{V}(\lambda_n^{cyl}))) = m(\lambda_n^{cyl}).$$

Since $\beta < \beta_0$, we obtain

$$m(\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}, \beta_0) \ge m(\lambda_n^{cyl}).$$

Therefore, in view of Theorem 3.2 c), there are at least $m(\lambda_n^{cyl})$ pairs of critical points E and $-E$ in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{cyl}$. Now let $\mu_n \to \mu_0$ in I as $n \to \infty$, and take any sequence of symmetric ground states E_n of J_{μ_n} for $n \ge 1$. Let $\mu = \inf\{\mu_n : n \ge 1\}$ and note that

$$J_\mu(E_n) \le J_{\mu_n}(E_n) = c_{\mu_n} \to c_{\mu_0}$$

as $n \to \infty$. By the coercivity of J_μ we obtain that (E_n) is bounded, and observe that

$$J_{\mu_0}(E_n) = c_{\mu_n} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu_n}{2}\int_\Omega |E_n|^2\,dx \to c_{\mu_0}.$$

Moreover

$$J'_{\mu_0}(E_n)(E) = (\mu_0 - \mu_n)\int_\Omega \langle E_n, E\rangle\,dx \le (\mu_0 - \mu_n)C\|E\|$$

for $E \in X^{cyl}$, where $C > 0$ is a constant independent on n. Thus $J'_{\mu_0}(E_n) \to 0$ and (E_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence at level c_{μ_0} of J_{μ_0}. Since $\mu_0 < \beta_0$, arguing as in Lemma 4.9, we infer that passing to a subsequence $E_n \to E_0$ in X^{cyl}. Then we easily see that E_0 is a symmetric ground state of J_{μ_0}. □

5. Anisotropic and cylindrically symmetric media

In the last section we present results on more general uniaxial (anisotropic and G-invariant) domains [7,30,37], which are obtained, again by means of the methods of Section 3. Namely, we look for solutions of the following equation

$$\nabla \times \big(\mu(x)^{-1}\nabla \times E\big) - V(x)E = f(x,E) \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{5.1}$$

together with boundary condition (1.4). Here, the permeability tensor $\mu(x)$ is of the form

$$\mu(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b(x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.2}$$

with $a, b \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ positive, bounded away from 0, and invariant with respect to the action of G on Ω; similarly for $V(x) = \omega^2\varepsilon(x)$, hence for the permittivity tensor $\varepsilon(x)$. For simplicity, we restrict our considerations to the following nonlinearity $f(x, E) = \partial_E F(x, E)$, where

$$F(x, E) = |\Gamma(x)E|^p,$$

$2 < p \leq 6$ and $\Gamma(x)$ has the similar matrix form (5.2). The interested reader may play with other types of nonlinearities similarly as in [7], such that the Nehari-Pankov can be set up for (5.1). As opposed to [7], we do not assume that the following subspace

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ v \in H_0(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) : \int_\Omega \langle V(x)v, \varphi\rangle \, dx = 0 \text{ for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \text{ with } \nabla \times \varphi = 0 \right\}.$$

is compactly embedded into $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $2 < p < 6$, but we work with condition (V), in which we use the above definition of $\mathcal{V}$ for the anisotropic media. Hence the energy functional $J : W_0^p(\mathrm{curl}; \Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$J(E) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \langle \mu(x)^{-1}\nabla \times E, E\rangle \, dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \langle V(x)E, E\rangle \, dx - \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)E|^p \, dx$$

is of $\mathcal{C}^1$-class and G-invariant. Similarly as above, we may define a subspace $X^{cyl} \subset \mathcal{V}$ of fields of the form (1.3) (see [7][Lemma 6.2]), and there is a dicrete sequence of anisotropic eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1^{cyl} \leq \lambda_2^{cyl} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k^{cyl} \to \infty$$

of the following problem

$$\nabla \times (\mu(x)^{-1}\nabla \times v) = \lambda V(x)v$$

in X^{cyl} with the corresponding finite multiplicities $m(\lambda_k^{cyl}) \in \mathbb{N}$; see [7][Corollary 3.3]. We find two closed subspaces $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ of X^{cyl} such that the quadratic form

$$Q(v) := \int_\Omega \left(\langle \mu(x)^{-1}\nabla \times v, \nabla \times v\rangle - \langle V(x)v, v\rangle \right) dx,$$

is positive on $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ and semi-negative on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ is the finite sum of the eigenspaces associated to all $\lambda_k^{cyl} \leq 1$, and $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ is the infinite sum of the eigenspaces associated to the eigenvalues $\lambda_k^{cyl} > 1$. Here $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl} = \{0\}$ if $\lambda_1^{cyl} > 1$. Then, the symmetric Nehari-Pankov manifold in the anisotropic case is given by

$$\mathcal{N} := \{E \in X^{cyl} \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl} : \ J'(E)|_{\mathbb{R}E \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}} = 0\}, \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$c := \inf_{\mathcal{N}} J.$$

Since μ is of the form (5.2), we define

$$\mu_\infty \;:=\; \max\{|a|_\infty, |b|_\infty\}$$

and similarly we get V_∞ and Γ_∞ for V and Γ respectively. Moreover let

$$\Gamma_0 := \inf_{x\in\Omega} \min\{|a_\Gamma(x)|, |b_\Gamma(x)|\},$$

where a_Γ and b_Γ are corresponding coefficients of the matrix form (5.2) of Γ. The existence result reads as follows.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \lambda_k^{cyl} > 1\}$ and suppose that*

$$(\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)V_\infty \mu_\infty \big(\Gamma_\infty/\Gamma_0\big)^{\frac{2}{p}} < S\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Then $c > 0$ and there is a symmetric ground state solution to (5.1), i.e. c is attained by a critical point of J. Moreover, there are at least $m(\lambda_n^{cyl})$ pairs of solutions E and $-E$ to (5.1) of the form (1.11).

Proof. Note that X^{cyl} is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_\mu := \int_\Omega \langle \mu(x)^{-1}\nabla\times u, \nabla\times v\rangle\, dx$$

and $\mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ are orthogonal with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_\mu$ and with respect to the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ given by

$$\langle u, v\rangle_V := \int_\Omega \langle V(x)u, v\rangle\, dx.$$

We consider the norm $\|v\|_\mu = \langle v, v\rangle_\mu$ in $\mathcal{V}$, which is equivalent with the usual one. Observe that for $E = v^+ + \widetilde{v} \in X^{cyl} = \mathcal{V}^{cyl+} \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$ we get

$$J(E) = \frac{1}{2}\|v^+\|_\mu^2 - I(E),$$

where

$$I(E) := -\frac{1}{2}\|\widetilde{v}\|_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \langle V(x)E, E\rangle\, dx + \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)E|^p\, dx.$$

Therefore $J : X^{cyl} \to \mathbb{R}$ is of the form (3.1), and similarly as in Section 4, we check that assumptions (A1)-(A4), (B1)-(B3), (C1)-(C3) are satisfied, where the compactly perturbed functional is given as follows

$$J_{cp}(E) = \frac{1}{2}\|E\|_\mu^2 - I_{cp}(E),$$

where

$$I_{cp}(E) := \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)E|^p\, dx$$

for $E \in X^{cyl}$. Similarly as in Lemma 4.8 we check that

$$d := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{cp}} J_{cp} \geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big) S^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \mu_\infty^{-\frac{p}{p-2}} \big(p\Gamma_\infty\big)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

On the other hand, we show that

$$c < \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big)\big((\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)|V|_\infty\big)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\mu(\Omega)\big(p\Gamma_0\big)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

Indeed, let $e_n \in \mathcal{V}^{cyl+}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to λ_n^{cyl}, i.e.

$$Q(e_n) = (\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)\int_\Omega \langle V(x)e_n, e_n\rangle\, dx.$$

Then $v = te_n + \widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{N}$ for some $t > 0$ and $\widetilde{v} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{cyl}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
c &\le J(te_n + \widetilde{v}) = \frac{1}{2}Q(te_n + \widetilde{v}) - \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)v|^p\, dx\\
&= \frac{1}{2}Q(te_n) + \frac{1}{2}Q(\widetilde{v}) - \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)v|^p\, dx\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)\int_\Omega \langle V(x)e_n, e_n\rangle\, dx - \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)v|^p\, dx\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)\int_\Omega \langle V(x)v, v\rangle\, dx - \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)v|^p\, dx\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)|V|_\infty\int_\Omega |v|^2\, dx - \Gamma_0\int_\Omega |v|^p\, dx\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)|V|_\infty\mu(\Omega)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\Big(\int_\Omega |v|^p\, dx\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \Gamma_0\int_\Omega |v|^p\, dx\\
&\le \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big)\big((\lambda_n^{cyl} - 1)|V|_\infty\big)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\mu(\Omega)\big(p\Gamma_0\big)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (5.4) implies that $c < d$ and in view of Theorem 3.2 b) we infer that c is attained by a critical point. Similarly as in Lemma 4.9 we show that J satisfies the $(PS)^{\mathcal{T}}_\beta$-condition in $\mathcal{N}$ for

$$\beta < \beta_0 := \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\Big)S^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\mu_\infty^{-\frac{p}{p-2}}\big(p\Gamma_\infty\big)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

We only check the following variant of the Brezis-Lieb lemma

$$\int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)E_n|^p\, dx - \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)(E_n - E_0)|^p\, dx = \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)E_0|^p\, dx \tag{5.5}$$

for $E_n \rightharpoonup E_0$ in $L^p(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $E_n \to E_0$ a.e. on Ω, and the remaining arguments are analogous. Observe that in view of the Vitali convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)E_n|^p - |\Gamma(x)(E_n-E_0)|^p\,dx\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega\int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}|\Gamma(x)(E_n+(t-1)E_0)|^p\,dtdx\\
&\quad= \int_0^1\int_\Omega \langle p|\Gamma(x)(E_n+(t-1)E_0)|^{p-2}\Gamma(x)(E_n+(t-1)E_0),\Gamma(x)E_0\rangle\,dxdt\\
&\quad\to \int_0^1\int_\Omega \langle p|\Gamma(x)tE_0|^{p-2}\Gamma(x)tE_0,\Gamma(x)E_0\rangle\,dxdt\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega |\Gamma(x)E_0|^p\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

hence we get (5.5). Now, similarly as in proof of Theorem 2.3, we show that

$$m(\mathcal{N},\beta_0) \geq m(\lambda_n^{cyl})$$

and in view of Theorem 3.2 c) we conclude. □

Acknowledgements. The author was partially supported by the National Science Centre, Poland (Grant No. 2014/15/D/ST1/03638).

References

[1] C. Amrouche, C. Bernardi, M. Dauge, V. Girault: *Vector potentials in three-dimensional non-smooth domains*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **21** (1998), no. 9, 823–864.

[2] T. Aubin, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Differential Geom. **11** (1976), 573–598.

[3] A. Azzollini, V. Benci, T. D'Aprile, D. Fortunato: *Existence of Static Solutions of the Semilinear Maxwell Equations*, Ric. Mat. **55** (2006), no. 2, 283–297.

[4] P. Bartolo, V. Benci, D. Fortunato: *Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with "strong" resonance at infinity*, Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1983), no. 9, 981–1012.

[5] T. Bartsch, T. Dohnal, M. Plum, W. Reichel: *Ground States of a Nonlinear Curl-Curl Problem in Cylindrically Symmetric Media*, to appear in Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl., DOI: 10.1007/s00030-016-0403-0.

[6] T. Bartsch, J. Mederski: *Ground and bound state solutions of semilinear time-harmonic Maxwell equations in a bounded domain*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 215 (1), (2015), 283–306.

[7] T. Bartsch, J. Mederski: *Nonlinear time-harmonic Maxwell equations in an anisotropic bounded medium*, submitted arXiv:1509.01994

[8] V. Benci, D. Fortunato: *Towards a unified field theory for classical electrodynamics*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **173** (2004), 379–414.

[9] V. Benci, P. H. Rabinowitz: *Critical point theorems for indefinite functionals*, Invent. Math. **52** (1979), no. 3, 241–273.

[10] M.S. Birman, M. Z. Solomyak: *L_2-theory of the Maxwell operator in arbitrary bounded domains*, Russ. Math. Surv. **42** (1987), 75–96.

[11] H. Brezis, E. Lieb: *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 486–490.

[12] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg: *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437–477.

[13] M. Comte M: *Solutions of elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponent in dimension three*, Nonlinear Anal. **17**, (1995), 445–455.

[14] M. Costabel: *A remark on the regularity of solutions of Maxwell's equations on Lipschitz domains*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **12**, (1990), 365–368.
[15] T. D'Aprile, G. Siciliano: *Magnetostatic solutions for a semilinear perturbation of the Maxwell equations*, Adv. Differential Equations **16** (2011), no. 5–6, 435-466.
[16] G. Cerami, D. Fortunato, M. Struwe: *Bifurcation and multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), no. 5, 341–350.
[17] G. Devillanova, S. Solimini: *Concentration estimates and multiple solutions to elliptic problems at critical growth*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **7**, (2002), 1257–1280 (2002)
[18] W. Dörfler, A. Lechleiter, M. Plum, G. Schneider, C. Wieners: *Photonic Crystals: Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Approximation*, Springer Basel 2012.
[19] M. Esteban, P.-L. Lions: *Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field*, Partial differential equations and the calculus of variations, Vol. I, 401–449, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 1, (1989).
[20] A. Hirsch, W. Reichel: *Existence of cylindrically symmetric ground states to a nonlinear curl-curl equation with non-constant coefficients*, arXiv:1606.04415.
[21] R. Leis: *Zur Theorie elektromagnetischer Schwingungen in anisotropen inhomogenen Medien*, Math. Z. **106** (1968), 213–224.
[22] J.B. McLeod, C.A. Stuart, W.C. Troy: *An exact reduction of Maxwell's equations*, *Nonlinear diffusion equations and their equilibrium states*, 3 (Gregynog, 1989), 391–405, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **7**, Birkhäuser Boston, (1992).
[23] J. Mederski: *Ground states of time-harmonic semilinear Maxwell equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with vanishing permittivity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 218 (2), (2015), 825–861.
[24] J. Mederski: *Ground states of a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with periodic potentials*, to appear in Comm. Partial Differential Equations (2016), DOI:10.1080/03605302.2016.1209520.
[25] M. Mitrea: *Sharp Hodge decompositions, Maxwell's equations, and vector Poisson problems on nonsmooth, three-dimensional Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. 125 (2004), no. 3, 467–547.
[26] P. Monk: *Finite Element Methods for Maxwell's Equations*, Oxford University Press 2003.
[27] A. Pankov: *Periodic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with Application to Photonic Crystals*, Milan J. Math. **73** (2005), 259–287.
[28] R. Picard, N. Weck, K.-J. Witsch: *Time-harmonic Maxwell equations in the exterior of perfectly conducting, irregular obstacles*, Analysis (Munich) 21 (2001), no. 3, 231–263.
[29] P. Rabinowitz: *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. **65**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island 1986.
[30] B.E.A. Saleh, M.C. Teich: *Fundamentals of Photonics*, 2nd Edition, Wiley 2007.
[31] S. Solimini: *Multiplicity techniques for problems without compactness. Stationary partial differential equations*, Vol. II, 519–599, Handb. Differ. Equ., Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, (2005).
[32] M. Struwe: *Variational Methods*, Springer-Verlag 2008.
[33] C. A. Stuart: *Self-trapping of an electromagnetic field and bifurcation from the essential spectrum*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **113** (1991), no. 1, 65–96.
[34] C. A. Stuart: *Modelling axi-symmetric travelling waves in a dielectric with nonlinear refractive index*, Milan J. Math. **72** (2004), 107–128.
[35] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: *A variational problem related to self-trapping of an electromagnetic field*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **19** (1996), no. 17, 1397–1407.
[36] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: *Existence of guided cylindrical TM-modes in a homogeneous self-focusing dielectric*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **18** (2001), no. 1, 69–96.
[37] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: *A constrained minimization problem and its application to guided cylindrical TM-modes in an anisotropic self-focusing dielectric*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **16** (2003), no. 4, 335–373.
[38] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: *Axisymmetric TE-modes in a self-focusing dielectric*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **37** (2005), no. 1, 218–237.
[39] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: *Existence of guided cylindrical TM-modes in an inhomogeneous self-focusing dielectric*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **20** (2010), no. 9, 1681–1719.
[40] A. Szulkin, T. Weth: *Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 12, 3802–3822.
[41] G. Talenti, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **110** (1976), 353–372.
[42] F. Vico,M. Ferrando, L. Greengard, Z. Gimbutas: *The decoupled potential integral equation for time-harmonic electromagnetic scattering*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), (4), 771–812.

(J. Mederski)
Institute of Mathematics,
Polish Academy of Sciences,
ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
and
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Nicolaus Copernicus University,
ul. Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

E-mail address: jmederski@impan.pl